

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

Computer Science

Based on:
Michael Wooldridge. *Reasoning about Rational Agents*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass. 2000. Chapter 7.

Agent Communications BDI Logic

CPSC 662/CPSC 568
Rob Kremer
Department of Computer Science
University of Calgary

2012-10-24 227

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

Basics

- First order model logic with identity
- Three primitive *attitudes*:
 - $B_i p$ i (implicitly) *believes* p
 - $U_i p$ i is *uncertain* about p but thinks that p is more likely than $\neg p$
 - $C_i p$ (*choice*) i desires that p currently holds
- Other attitudes:
 - $PG_i p$ i has p as a *persistent goal* (desire?)
 - $I_i p$ i has the intention to bring about p

2012-10-24 CPSC 662/568: Agent Communications 228

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

Actions

$a_1 ; a_2$ sequence in which a_2 follows a_1 .

$a_1 | a_2$ nondeterministic choice in which either a_1 happens or a_2 happens, but not both.

Feasible(a, p) a can take place and, if it does, p will be true just after that. (Feasible(a) = Feasible(a , True))

Possible(p) = $\exists a$. Feasible(a, p).

Done(a, p) a has just taken place and p was true just before that. (Done(a) = Done(a , True))

Agent(i, a) i is the only agent that ever performs (in the past, present or future) the actions a .

Single(a) a is not a sequence. \neg Single($a_1; a_2$), but Single($a_1 | a_2$) iff Single(a_1) \wedge Single(a_2)

2012-10-24 CPSC 662/568: Agent Communications 229

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

Abbreviations

$B_i f_i p$ = $B_i p \vee B_i \neg p$

$Bref_i | x \delta(x)$ = $\exists y. B_i (|x \delta(x) = y)$. Agent i believes that it knows the (x which is) δ .

$U_i f_i p$ = $U_i p \vee U_i \neg p$

$Uref_i | x \delta(x)$ = $\exists y. U_i (|x \delta(x) = y)$.

$AB_{n, i, j} p$ = $B_i B_j B_i \dots p$. n is the number of B operators alternating between i and j .

2012-10-24 CPSC 662/568: Agent Communications 230

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

Property: intending to achieve a RE

$\models (\exists x . B_i a_k = x) \wedge RE(a_k) = p$ // there exists an action // who's RE is p

$\wedge \neg C_i \neg Possible(Done(a_k))$ // that i thinks should be done

$\rightarrow (I_i p \rightarrow I_i Done(a_1 | \dots | a_n))$ // then if i intends p , then i intends one of the act that can achieve it

"If I intend to break the vase, then I intend to either drop it, or smash it with a hammer"

2012-10-24 CPSC 662/568: Agent Communications 231

UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

Property: satisfiability of intent

$\models I_i Done(a) \rightarrow B_i Feasible(a) \vee I_i B_i Feasible(a)$

If agent i intends a , then it needs to believe a is feasible or at least have the intent to discover if a is feasible

"If I intend to build a perpetual motion machine, then I have to believe it's possible or to at least discover if it's possible."

2012-10-24 CPSC 662/568: Agent Communications 232

Property: intent of act implies intent of RE

$$\models I_i \text{Done}(a) \rightarrow I_i \text{RE}(a)$$

If agent i intends a , then it also intends the RE of a

“If I intend to drop the vase, then I also intend to break the vase”



Property: observing an act

$$\models B_i(\text{Done}(a) \wedge \text{Agent}(j,a) \rightarrow I_j \text{RE}(a))$$

If agent i observes j doing a , then i will come to believe the j intends the RE of a .

“If I see Jane hammering the vase, then I believe that Jane intends to break the vase”

